

BRADLEY W. KAMPAS (Cal. Bar No. 111639)
ANNE V. LEINFELDER (Cal. Bar No. 230272)
JACKSON LEWIS LLP
199 Fremont Street, 10th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 394-9400
Facsimile: (415) 394-9401

Attorneys for Defendant
ONESOURCE BUILDING SERVICES, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

CALIFORNIA SERVICE EMPLOYEES
HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST FUND, MIKE
GARCIA, Trustee, CHARLES GILCRIST,
Trustee, DAVID STILWELL, Trustee,
RAYMOND C. NANN, Trustee, LARRY T.
SMITH, Trustee, LEE CRETAROLO, Trustee,

Plaintiff,

v.

ONESOURCE BUILDING SERVICES, INC.,
an Illinois Corporation, formerly known as ISS
BUILDING MAINTENANCE, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 06 2335 SBA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND ADR DEADLINE**

Plaintiff CALIFORNIA SERVICE EMPLOYEES HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST
FUND (“Plaintiff” or “the Trust Fund”) and Defendant ONESOURCE BUILDING SERVICES,
INC. an Illinois Corporation formerly known as ISS BUILDING MAINTENANCE, INC.
 (“Defendant”), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree to the
following:

1 1. On April 3, 2006, Plaintiff filed a lawsuit alleging that Defendant owed
2 delinquent contributions to the Trust Fund.

3 2. On June 1, 2006, Defendant filed an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint,
4 denying in part Plaintiff's allegations and asserting affirmative defenses against Plaintiff.

5 3. On July 6, 2006, the Court directed the parties to Alternative Dispute
6 Resolution in the form of mediation. On July 14, 2006, the Court assigned the case to R.
7 Bradford Huss. The timeline to complete mediation was October 5, 2006.

8 4. Notwithstanding the overall Complaint, Defendant has been conducting a
9 line-by-line by employee review of the internal audit to determine where the parties might find
10 agreement as to the disputed amount. The evaluation of the audit is an extremely time-intensive
11 process, and Defendant was unable to complete it by October 5, 2006.

12 5. The parties believe completion of the internal audit review will facilitate a
13 more meaningful mediation.

14 6. The parties request Court approval to extend the ADR deadline to October
15 30, 2006. The parties agree to conduct the mediation on October 30, 2006 at 1:30 p.m.

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

3